

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/887,848	Applicant(s) NEWMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Thuy V. Tran	Art Unit 2821	

All Participants:

 (1) Thuy V. Tran.

 (2) Mark E. Rose (Reg. No. 42,113).
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2007
Time: 10:30 AM ET
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/____ Signature)

THUY V. TRAN Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference is held to confirm if claim 16 is now reinstated since it was canceled on the amendment filed on 06/02/2005. Mr. Mark E. Rose realizes that it was erroneously left in the claims and authorized the Examiner to make an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claim 16. Mr. Mark E. Rose also confirms that Claims 14-15 and 17-36 are now pending in the instant application..